

SECURITIES AND FUTURES COMMISSION 證券及期貨事務監察委員會

Consultation Conclusions on the draft Securities and Futures (Insurance) Rules 《證券及期貨(保險)規則》草擬本的諮詢總結

Hong Kong September 2002

香港 2002年9月

Introduction

- 1. On 26 June 2002, the Securities and Futures Commission ("SFC") issued a Consultation Document to solicit public comments on the draft Securities and Futures (Insurance) Rules ("the draft Rules").
- 2. The consultation period lasted until 25 July 2002.
- 3. The purpose of this document is to summarize the major comments received during the consultation period and the SFC's responses.
- 4. It is advisable to read this document in conjunction with the Consultation Document.

Public Consultation

- 5. In addition to the public announcement inviting comments, the SFC distributed the Consultation Document to all licensed intermediaries using the FinNet communication network. The Document was also published on the SFC website.
- 6. The SFC received a total of nine submissions in response to the consultation. One was submitted collectively by a group of five international brokerage firms¹ through their solicitors. Another three were submitted by Hong Kong Stockbrokers Association Limited, the Institute of Securities Dealers Limited and the Securities Law Committee of the Law Society of Hong Kong. These submissions have been posted on the SFC website. The rest were submitted by five respondents who had requested their names and submissions to be withheld from publication.

Summary of Comments and the SFC's Responses

7. Respondents generally supported the compulsory fidelity insurance scheme ("the Scheme") proposed under the draft Rules, and they also raised a number of enquiries and comments in relation to policy and administrative matters. A summary of their comments and the SFC's responses is set out at **Annex 1**.

¹ Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C., J.P. Morgan, Merrill Lynch (Asia Pacific) Limited, Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Asia Limited and Salomon Smith Barney Hong Kong Limited.

Consultation Conclusions

8. Having carefully considered the comments received and consulted with its adviser in the insurance market, the SFC has made amendments to the draft Rules (revised draft Rules at **Annex 2**). The major changes are summarized as follows:

Section 1: Commencement

9. To coincide with the expiry of the current Brokers' Fidelity Insurance Scheme arranged by the Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited for its participants, the SFC has amended the commencement date of the draft Rules to 1 April 2003, which is later than the expected commencement of the principal ordinance.

Section 3: Application

Eligible insurer

- 10. Some respondents commented that as a matter of quality control, the draft Rules shall set out the minimum requirements or qualifications (in terms of credit rating, capital threshold, etc) in respect of the insurer underwriting the Scheme.
- 11. The SFC agreed with this comment and has amended the draft Rules to the effect that an insurer concerned shall have a specified credit rating on the day the scheme period commences as a minimum standard. In addition, the SFC considers it appropriate that this requirement also applies to those insurers of group or global policies which licensed corporations (not being exchange participants) may rely upon in seeking exemption from participating in the Scheme (sections 3(3)(b)(i)(B) and 6(2) of the revised draft Rules are relevant).
- 12. The specified credit rating mentioned above is stipulated in Schedule 4 of the revised draft Rules. As proposed, it means:
 - (i) a Moody's Long-Term Insurance Financial Strength rating of "A" or above;
 - (ii) a Standard & Poor's Insurer Financial Strength rating of "A" or above; or
 - (iii) a Fitch's Insurer Financial Strength rating of "A" or above.
- 13. Apart from the requirement on specified credit rating of the insurer, it is now proposed that the insurer of a group or global policy concerned shall not be a related corporation of the licensed corporation which seeks exemption from participating in the Scheme (section 3(3)(b)(i)(A) of the revised draft Rules is relevant). This is to avoid possible risk transfer among group companies.

Lodgment of written confirmation for the purpose of exemption

- 14. To be more specific as to the timeframe for lodgment of the required written confirmation for the purpose of exemption under section 3(3)(b) or (c) of the revised draft Rules, subsections (b)(ii) and (c) now spell out that the required confirmation shall be lodged with the SFC not less than 60 days before a scheme period commences.
- 15. In addition, under section 3(5) of the revised draft Rules, where a policy of insurance referred to in section 3(3)(b) or (c) expires before the end of a scheme period for which a licensed corporation intends to be exempted from participating in the Scheme, the corporation concerned shall within 7 business days after expiry of the previous policy lodge with the SFC a written confirmation that the corporation is and has since such expiry been so insured under a new policy of insurance.
- 16. Furthermore, to facilitate administration, a confirmation referred to in section 3(3)(b)(ii), 3(3)(c), or 3(5) shall state the commencement and expiry dates of the policy of insurance under which the licensed corporation is so insured.

Copy of relevant policy of insurance not required

17. For the purpose of exemption under section 3(3)(b) or (c), the initial draft provision (section 3(3)(b)(i)(A)) which requires the corporation to submit to the SFC a copy of the relevant policy of insurance is now removed. This would help reduce the administrative burden in seeking exemption by the licensed corporations or licence applicants concerned.

Schedule 2: Specified risks

Specified risks in relation to business carried out in Hong Kong

- 18. In response to some respondents' enquiries concerning the risk coverage under the Scheme, the SFC would like to clarify that the Scheme is intended to provide insurance coverage specific to a licensed corporation's related regulated activity conducted in Hong Kong. As long as such regulated activity is carried out in Hong Kong forming part of the licensed corporation's business turnover, the insurance coverage shall apply regardless of whether or not the loss is attributable to overseas operations of the licensed corporation. In that regard, section 2 of Schedule 2 of the revised draft Rules has been revised to better reflect this policy intention.
- 19. On a separate matter, some respondents commented that the provision under section 4 of Schedule 2 (now amended to as section 2 of Schedule 2 in the revised draft) does not appear to be relevant in the context of a group or global policy covering a licensed corporation which intends to seek exemption with reference to that group or global policy. We accepted this comment and have revised the draft Rules by adding section 3(4)(a) which provides that section 2 of Schedule 2 shall not

apply to a group or global policy for the purpose of exemption under section 3(3)(b) or (c).

Administrative matters

Standing Committee

- 20. As proposed in the Consultation Document, the SFC would establish a Standing Committee under section 8(1)(a) of the Securities and Futures Ordinance to consider and determine the allocation of insurance premium. The Standing Committee would comprise representatives of the industry in respect of each type of the regulated activities concerned and the SFC.
- 21. As noted from the responses received, industry associations are keen to be represented in the Standing Committee. In this connection, the SFC would like to assure that relevant industry associations would be invited to represent in the Committee in due course.
- 22. Furthermore, the SFC understands that the industry is generally concerned about the cost and other administrative matters such as selection of insurer, monitoring of the insurer's performance as well as handling of claims and complaints from the insured in relation to the Scheme. In that regard, the SFC decided that related administrative matters would be regularly brought before the Standing Committee for information and comments.

Final Note

- 23. The SFC would like to thank all industry practitioners and interested persons who have made valuable suggestions and comments in response to this consultation.
- 24. To assist with the administration of the Scheme, the SFC would issue detailed guidelines to the relevant licensed corporations following the finalization of the Scheme.

Securities and Futures Commission September 2002

Summary of comments received on the draft Securities and Futures (Insurance) Rules

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
App	lication			
1	s.3(1) and Schedule 1	The draft Rules apply to corporations which are licensed to carry out Type 1 (dealing in securities), Type 2 (dealing in futures contracts) or Type 8 (securities margin financing) regulated activity under s.116(1) of the Securities and Futures Ordinance ("SFO").	[Name of respondent withheld as requested] We query as to the reason that the SFC has excluded corporations licensed for Type 3 (leveraged foreign exchange) activity from the Rules. Leveraged foreign exchange is under the same regulatory regime and its associated fidelity risks are the same as that of futures and securities.	A corporation licensed for Type 3 regulated activity (not being an introducing agent) is required to maintain an issued and paid-up capital of not less than HK\$30 million and a liquid capital of not less than HK\$15 million. These requirements are more stringent than those applicable to a corporation licensed for Type 1, Type 2 or Type 8 regulated activity where a minimum paid-up capital of HK\$5 million (HK\$10 million if engaged in securities margin financing) and a minimum liquid capital of HK\$3 million are required. In addition, leveraged foreign exchange traders are generally subject to more statutory restrictions on their business operations. For instance, the gross position of a leveraged foreign exchange trader shall not exceed 60 times its liquid capital. Coupled with our experience of minimal infidelity events occurred in relation to leveraged foreign exchange traders, the SFC decided not to require corporations licensed for Type 3 regulated activity to participate in the proposed Scheme at this stage.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
Exe	mptions			
2	s.3(2) (now s.3(3))	These Rules do not apply to a corporation which is not an exchange participant upon satisfying certain criteria.	[Linklaters] The exemptions only apply to a licensed corporation that is not an exchange participant. It is unclear why an exchange participant that could be covered by a group or global policy is required to participate in the Scheme. This does not seem to be consistent with the aim to have a single licensing regime in Hong Kong. [Name of respondent withheld as requested] The SFC should apply the same rationale with respect to exchange participants who have insurance equivalent to the Scheme proposed by the Rules. We see that there should be no difference in the risks borne by an exchange participant and a non-exchange participant where they are covered by the same master policy. Thus, there should be provisions in the Rules under which exchange participants can seek exemption.	With a view to maintaining stability of the Hong Kong market and providing licensees which trade Hong Kong securities and/or future contracts with a quality and standardized insurance coverage against the specified risks, the SFC proposed to require all licensed corporations which are participants of the Hong Kong exchanges to participate in the Scheme. In fact, existing securities dealers which are exchange participants are now required to take part in the Brokers' Fidelity Insurance ("BFI") Scheme arranged by the Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited regardless of whether or not they are covered by other insurance policies against the same risks. As noted in the Consultation Document (paragraph 12), upon implementation, the new Scheme would replace the BFI Scheme.
3	s.3(2)(a) (now s.3(3))	These Rules do not apply to a corporation which is not an exchange participant if it is insured by another insurance policy against the specified risks for an insured amount not less than that specified in Schedule 3.	[The Securities Law Committee of the Law Society of Hong Kong ("SLC")] It is submitted that if exemptions are to be given on the basis of existing group policies, companies which are part of larger groups which are adequately covered by group insurance policies should be exempt from the compulsory insurance regime without further qualification, save as to the amount of the coverage and undertakings to report and provide information to the SFC.	The SFC considers that only those non-exchange participants which are covered by group policies against the specified risks should be exempted from joining the Scheme for reasons stated in item 2 above.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
4	s.3(2)(a) (ii) (now s.3(3))	For the purpose of exemption, the corporation shall submitted a written confirmation from a responsible officer and its legal advisers that it is insured in relation to the specified risks and for that amount.	[Linklaters] It is suggested that the exemption requirement should be to be insured in relation to risks "substantially similar" to those specified in Schedule 2, as it is unlikely that a group or global policy would contain exactly the same risks.	In principle, the group or global policy that a licensed corporation relies upon in order to be exempted from participating in the Scheme shall cover (without limitation) the risks as specified in Schedule 2. The SFC considers that the term "substantially similar" would create ambiguity to the market participants.
5	s.3(2)(a) (ii) (now s.3(3))	Ditto	[SLC] The Committee draws the SFC's attention that any law firm providing such written confirmation will only be able to do so on a heavily qualified basis. In particular, the law firm will need to make assumptions as to matters of fact (e.g. due execution, payment of premium etc.) surrounding circumstances (e.g. nothing that would affect the doctrine of <i>uberriama fides</i>), application of overseas law and the other assumptions typically associated with legal opinions.	The SFC trusts that as an independent legal practitioner, a law firm shall exercise professional judgment in forming its views for the purposes of the required written confirmation. This confirmation should be submitted to the SFC as a substantive document in the context of regulatory and compliance functions.
6	s.3(2)(b) (now s.3(3)(a))	These Rules do not apply to a corporation which is not an exchange participant if it does not handle client assets.	[Linklaters] The Submitting Group supports the proposal that the draft Rules should not apply to a licensed corporation that does not handle client assets but suggests this is amended to "hold" client assets.	Agreed. The term "hold" is defined in Schedule 1, Part 1 of the SFO. Please refer to s.3(3)(a) of the revised draft Rules.

	Section	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
	Reference	Details of the Ruics	respondent 8 comments	SI C 3 Acsponse
7	s.3(3)	A licensed corporation (which is not an exchange participant) may be exempted from the insurance requirements if it is a related company of an exchange participant and it has entered into a client contract with this exchange participant being the first mentioned corporation's executing broker in respect of dealings in securities or futures contracts conducted by the first mentioned corporation.	[Name of respondent withheld as requested] We would like to obtain clarification on whether an intermediary has to deal exclusively with a designated exchange participant (which should be a related company) in order to enjoy the exemption. If so, there may be practical difficulties because: (a) while we generally deal through our related exchange participant for HK-listed products, we may occasionally deal with other unrelated exchange participants (e.g. in contingency situation or for some special transactions). (b) We deal with overseas brokers directly for overseas products.	In order to be exempted from participating in the Scheme, a licensed corporation (which is not an exchange participant) has to in the ordinary course of business deal with an exchange participant(s) which is a related company requiring to take out and maintain insurance (except for emergency situations where alternative arrangements may be made). In this regard, the scheme master policy taken out by the relevant exchange participant(s) will also cover related losses incurred by that licensed corporation. However, if a licensed corporation effects transactions on behalf of its clients with other brokers which are not its related companies (or overseas brokers) and the licensed corporation holds client assets, this licensed corporation would be required to comply with the insurance requirements even though it is not an exchange participant.
Spec	rified risks			
8	s.1 and s.2 of Schedule 2	A person requiring insurance shall take out and maintain insurance that covers the loss of client assets of that person (including client assets that are received or held by an associated entity of that person) attributable to fraudulent or dishonest acts committed by employees of that person (or its associated entity or service bureau), etc.	With respect to the risks covered, consideration should be given to include risks attributable to fraud, dishonesty etc. by relevant people relating to defective securities (for example, forged share certificates, CCASS eligible securities which are subject to freezing tracing or other equitable remedies which thereby result in the securities ceasing to be "eligible securities of the CCASS Rules, etc).	According to the SFC's adviser on this matter, the scheme master policy will be drafted as widely as the insurance market will permit. Moreover, there would be coverage under the proposed policy wording in respect of liabilities arising from the inability to complete transactions due to counterfeit or forged securities.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
9	s.1 and s.2 of Schedule 2	ditto	[SLC] The risk of loss is not limited to loss resulting from fraudulent or dishonest acts by licensed corporations, associated entities or their respective employees. There may well be other ways in which fraudulent or dishonest acts can result in loss of client assets.	The risks as specified in Schedule 2 are set out as the minimum requirements in terms of insurance coverage. The SFC notes that in considering the insurance coverage as minimum requirement, one shall also bear in mind the overall cost incurred to the industry.
10	s.1, s.2 and s.3 of Schedule 2 (Paragraphs 18A and 18B of the Consultation Document)	A person requiring insurance shall take out and maintain insurance that covers the risks arising out of the loss of client assets attributable to [paragraph 18A] fraudulent or dishonest acts committed by employees of the person requiring insurance (or its associated entity or service bureau) and [paragraph 18B] negligent acts done or omitted to be done by the licensed corporation or its employees (or by its associated entity or employees of its associated entity).	[SLC] A critical distinction between the specified risks in paragraphs 18A and 18B of the Consultation Document is that 18A is risk of loss of assets — which does not cover loss attributable to the diminution in the value of assets due to any of the attributable factors. In contrast, 18B is broader in that it covers loss attributable to negligent acts which, on its face, includes diminution in value.	According to the SFC's adviser on this matter, as an usual insurance market practice, paragraph 18A refers to first party claim and specific perils while paragraph 18B is to provide a broader coverage of legal liability or responsibility.
11	s.4(a) (now s.2) of Schedule 2	The risks specified in Schedule 2 shall exclude losses attributable to branch offices maintained outside Hong Kong by the person requiring insurance.	 [SLC] While the proposal to limit insurance coverage to licensed corporations' business in Hong Kong is noted and supported, further clarification is needed. (i) If a licensed person takes a client's instruction in Hong Kong and relays it to an overseas broker for execution, is this business being done in Hong Kong in whole or in part? 	By receiving a client's instruction in Hong Kong, the transaction concerned is regarded as a business conducted in Hong Kong. The intention is to cover this business against the specified risks.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
			(ii) At which point in the sequential chain of events commencing with a client placing an instruction and ending with the trade being settled and cleared does the transaction cease to be "business in Hong Kong"? This is particularly relevant in the context of licensed corporations which are part of wider groups which collectively take client instructions over the Internet or by email.	If the licensed corporation suffers a loss from a transaction which at some point is supported by or conducted as part of the licensed corporation's operation in Hong Kong and is booked as the turnover of the licensed corporation, the insurance coverage should apply.
12	s.4(a) (now s.2) of Schedule 2 (Paragraph 17 of the Consultation Document)	The risks specified in Schedule 2 shall exclude losses attributable to branch offices maintained outside Hong Kong by the person requiring insurance.	As a technical point, paragraph 17 of the Consultation Document states that the insurance coverage is specific to licensed corporations' businesses in Hong Kong. However, it is phrased differently in the relevant section (s.4(a) (now s.2) of Schedule 2) under the draft Rules, where it states that losses attributable to branch offices maintained overseas will not be covered. This would seem to mean that losses caused to businesses in Hong Kong by fraudulent acts of overseas employees are not covered by the proposed scheme which is different from the intention expressed in the Consultation Document.	S.4(a) of Schedule 2 has been amended in the light of this comment. Please refer to s.2 of Schedule 2 of the revised draft Rules.
13	s.4(a) (now s.2) of Schedule 2	Ditto	[Name of respondent withheld as requested] Although the draft Rules require that the insurance coverage "would be specific to the licensed corporations' businesses in Hong Kong", the draft Rules do not specifically confine the coverage to securities and futures products listed on the Hong Kong Exchanges. Clarification is sought on whether the insurance should cover dealings in overseas products.	The insurance will cover dealings in both Hong Kong and overseas products provided that such dealings are businesses of the licensed corporations conducted in Hong Kong.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
14	s.4 (now s.2) of Schedule 2	The risks specified shall exclude (a) losses attributable to branch offices maintained outside Hong Kong, and (b) losses arising otherwise than in respect of the licensed person's regulated activity concerned.	[Linklaters] S.4 (now s.2) of Schedule 2 does not appear relevant in relation to a global or group policy.	Agreed. For the purpose of exemption, a group or global insurance policy that a licensed corporation relies upon is not subject to the exclusion provision under s.4 of Schedule 2 (now revised to as s.2 of Schedule 2). Please refer to s.3(4)(a) of the revised draft Rules.
Insu	red amounts			
15	s.1 and s.2 of Schedule 3	Where a licensed corporation undertakes 1 regulated activity requiring insurance, the insured amount is HK\$15 million. If a licensed corporation undertakes more than one regulated activity requiring insurance, the insured amount is HK\$25 million.	[Linklaters] It is suggested that a reduction on the insured amount should be applied on a group basis where regulated activities are conducted through separate licensed corporations that share common back office and other functions.	Having consulted its adviser on this matter, the SFC recognizes that a reduction of the insured amount due to sharing of back office and other functions on a group basis would not be practicable for the proposed Scheme due to considerable variation in business models among different groups. In that regard, whilst some groups share common functions and management staff for all licensed entities, some maintain separate functional units and different management personnel in respect of each licensed entity. Hence, it would require significant administrative work (and costs) to assess and quantify the relevant impacts on each group causing the Scheme more costly to licensed persons. Furthermore, the potential loss in relation to back-office staff is but only one of the areas that could give rise to losses under the scheme master policy. We therefore do not intend to reduce the insured amount lower than the current level of HK\$15 million under the BFI Scheme.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
Leve	l of deductible			
16	s.3 of Schedule 3 (now s.3(4)(b))	Where, in respect of a scheme period, the underwriter is liable under the scheme master policy for only the part of a loss or claim by a person requiring insurance that exceeds a certain amount, that amount shall not exceed HK\$3 million.	S.3 of Schedule 3 (now s.3(4)(b)) provides that the excess amount should not exceed HK\$3 million. While these limits may be practical in the case of smaller intermediaries, intermediaries which are part of a large financial group, are normally covered by a master insurance policy taken out by the financial group. Such master policy would normally have a deductible in excess of HK\$3 million due to their size and financial standing. We would therefore suggest that the SFC consider including an exception to s.3 (now s.3(4)(b)) of the draft rules to exclude intermediaries where they are subsidiaries of authorized financial institutions ("AFI") which are already covered by the AFI's master insurance policy. This would enable such intermediaries who would have a large deductible amount in excess of HK\$3 million under their master group policy to continue to provide an effective service to the market, while being covered by their master policy.	In the interest of fairness to all licensed corporations, the SFC decided to follow the existing BFI practice of requiring a (self-insured) deductible amount of HK\$3 million. This deductible amount is consistent with the minimum liquid capital required for dealers. The SFC notes that this level of deductible has been increased over time under the BFI Scheme and is a compromise between the maximum loss the smaller licensees could bear without putting too great a financial burden upon them and also being high enough to enable cost-effective insurance coverage to be purchased in the market. Having consulted with its adviser on this matter, the SFC understands that this level of deductible amount is high for most of the (small) intermediaries and reasonable for the others. In maintaining a level playing field across the market, the SFC considers it inappropriate to provide the suggested exception to licensed corporations which are subsidiaries of AFI.
17	s.3 of Schedule 3 (now s.3(4)(b))	ditto	[Name of respondent withheld as requested] The SFC should be flexible in determining the amount of deductible for the insured licensee. The deductible level should be varied according to the capital base of the insured licensee. As the insurance premium is influenced by the level of the deductible, where the deductible level is higher, the insurance premium should be lower.	In theory, different levels of deductible could be allowed for different licensees. However, practically speaking, this would create additional monitoring duties (and costs) and would cause significant complications in underwriting the Scheme, particularly when the capital base of a licensee changes over the scheme period.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
Role	of the SFC			
18	s.7(1)	This Rule sets out the SFC's role in arranging the Scheme.	[Linklaters] The Submitting Group assumes that the SFC will consider and provide for the interaction between the Scheme and any other insurance taken out by the insured. For example, in the event of a claim by an insured, there should be co-operation between the Scheme's insurers and the global insurers in the sharing of claims information and settlement of the claims.	On advice of its adviser on this matter, the SFC understands that the usual insurance market practice may allow for the said interaction between insurers. This matter will be included in the tender document and considered in the tender process when selecting the insurer to underwrite the Scheme.
19	s.7(1)(d)	The SFC's role in arranging the Scheme may include receiving notifications of claims or circumstances likely to give rise to claims under the Scheme from persons requiring insurance and transmitting such notifications to the underwriter.	[Linklaters] By receiving notifications of claims or circumstances likely to give rise to claims (under s.7(d) of the draft Rules), the SFC will receive information which may result in a potential conflict of interest between the SFC's role as arranger of the Scheme and its regulatory function. The Group assumes that the SFC has considered this and will ensure that steps are taken to keep the two roles separate. If there were no Chinese Walls or other protection, a licensed corporation may be discouraged from submitting a notice of potential claim under its errors and omissions policy if such information were likely to lead to the SFC taking action against the licensed corporation.	The SFC does not see that there is any conflict of interest arising from performing its regulatory role and its role in arranging the Scheme. As in the current BFI arrangement, the SFC has been notified by exchange participants of any claims or circumstances likely to give rise to claims. Upon receipt of such information, the SFC often assesses the implications of those incidents and determines the appropriate follow-up actions to be taken. The SFC considers that both roles are performed with the same view to maintaining stability of the financial market and protecting the investors.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response		
Disc	Disclosure of information					
20	s.9(1)	A person requiring insurance shall submit to the SFC (or any person assisting the SFC under s.7(2)) such information about the person requiring insurance and its business as the SFC may require for the purposes of arranging the scheme of insurance.	[The Institute of Securities Dealers Ltd] The requirement that licensed corporation has to fulfill the duties of disclosures may result in the inability of the licensed corporation to apply for claim. Therefore the required disclosures should be simple and straight forward and in a standard form such that the licensed corporations can comply easily.	It is intended that the required disclosure would be simple and straightforward (both in the setup of the Scheme and the ongoing claim procedures) but only to the extent that this does not prejudice securing a cost effective scheme. Typically, if insurers do not receive the required information, they may simply either refuse to quote or price terms conservatively (i.e. higher).		
21	s.9(2)(c) (now s.9(2)(b))	A person requiring insurance is to be taken as having consented to the disclosure by the SFC (or any person assisting the SFC under s.7(2)) for the purposes of arranging the scheme of insurance to an insurer, of information relating to the person requiring insurance where that information was obtained by the SFC from the person requiring insurance.	 [Linklaters] (i) It is suggested the reference to insurer in s.9(2)(c) (now s.9(2)(b))should be deleted as distribution of the information should be limited to persons actually connected with the Scheme. (ii) The Submitting Group would also expect there to be a confidentiality requirement on these persons. (iii) Given the potential impact of such disclosure, we assume that a licensed corporation will be notified of or copied on information provided to the insurer – a licensed corporation would be concerned to ensure that the information presented is accurate and current. 	Having consulted with its adviser on this matter, the SFC notes that "the insurer" as referred to in s.9(2)(c) (now s.9(2)(b)) is actually a party connected with the Scheme. Hence, it should not be deleted. These persons would be required to sign relevant confidentiality undertaking. The SFC considers that it would not be practical to notify (or copy) the licensed corporations of all information provided to the insurer. Indeed, this would not be necessary because by virtue of s.9 of the draft Rules, the Commission would only disclose to the relevant persons such information relating to a licensed corporation where that information was obtained by the Commission from that corporation. Moreover, the SFC notes that a licensed corporation generally has a right to access information specific to the corporation as held by the insurer on request made to the insurer.		

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
Leve	l of premium			
22	-	General comments	[Linklaters] The draft Rules do not apply to a licensed corporation that is a related corporation to an exchange participant where the exchange participant acts as its executing broker in respect of its dealings in securities or futures for or on behalf of its clients, where the licensed corporation is responsible to its clients in respect of the acts of the exchange participant; provided that the exchange participant takes out and maintains insurance under which both the exchange participant and the licensed corporation are insured. It is not clear whether this would result in increased insurance premium for the exchange participant.	This will not result in increased insurance premium for an exchange participant as only one limit is exposed and the premium is intended to be allocated based on the turnover of the exchange participant concerned. Therefore, the turnover would not be double counted.
23	-	General comments	 [The Institute of Securities Dealers Ltd] (i) The Scheme should result in a general reduction of premium due to the spreading of risk over a wider spectrum. As our members are concerned about costs, we would like to suggest that the premium payable on the introduction of the Scheme should not be higher than that of the preceding year. (ii) In addition, we would like to see that the securities dealers sector be well represented in the Standing and Advisory Committee on account that it is the largest. The representatives should be given all relevant information concerning the determination of 	Although greater economies of scale may be achieved due to increase in the number of participants in the pool (as compared with BFI), the exact premium level cannot be ascertained until completion of the tender process. Furthermore, it is noted that apart from the number of participants, there are other factors affecting the level of premium such as overall market conditions, claim history and trend as foreseen by the insurer. In arranging the Scheme, industry associations including the Institute of Securities Dealers Ltd and Hong Kong Stockbrokers Association Ltd have already joined the SFC's working group. It is also proposed that representatives of the relevant industry associations would become members of the Standing Committee.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
			premium by the insurer and the allocation to the various sectors. We would like to ensure that the allocation of premium is fair to our members.	As regards the Advisory Committee, it should be noted that the composition of that Committee is stipulated by the legislation in that the majority members shall be appointed by the Chief Executive.
				However, in addressing the respondent's concern, it is now proposed that the selection of and the rationale behind choosing an insurer will also be tabled before the Standing Committee for comments prior to finalization.
24	-	General comments	[Name of respondent withheld as requested] To safeguard the insurance cost for exchange participants, the SFC should consider legislative measures to prevent any substantial increase of premium by the insurer due to extraordinary events that may have material impact on the market (e.g. September 11 event).	The SFC reckons that it may not be appropriate to impose legislative measures in relation to the level of premium, which should be determined by commercial forces in the insurance market following the tender procedures.
Allo	cation of prem	ium		
25	-	General comments	While it is noted that a single scheme may well have the benefit of lowering the average insurance premium borne by individual licensees for the reasons stated, it must also be pointed out that licensed corporations which are "good" insurance risks are effectively being required to subsidize those licensed corporations which may be viewed by the insurance provider as being of "higher risk".	After consulting its adviser on this matter, the SFC was given to understand that this situation is inevitable to a certain degree in the context of assessing the overall industry risk and is actually the principle of all insurance regardless of whether or not it is effected under a common scheme. However, all efforts would be made to mitigate this effect. For instance, as proposed in the Consultation Document (paragraph 28b), licensees which have claims paid in the past 3 years will have an additional loading applied on their premium.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
26	-	General comments	[Hong Kong Stockbrokers Association Ltd] It is noted that the existing BFI Scheme deals singularly with securities and premium is calculated using annual turnover in dollar terms as a factor. However in the proposed Scheme, the securities dealings in BFI will be merged with futures contracts and the business of margin financing. It is obvious that the added activities are different products involving different risk factors in their nature as well as day-to-day operations. Moreover, margin financing is basically an on-going state of affairs and its nature cannot be readily assessed by using a single factor of turnover as in securities. Therefore, as the question of "fair" premium, we suggest that it should be equitably allocated.	A Standing Committee comprising representatives of the various sectors of the industry will be established to oversee the fair allocation of premium among participating firms. As illustrated in Appendix 2 of the Consultation Document, the insurer will be asked to break the global premium down into 5 amounts with each amount representing its views on the overall risk weighting attaching to that sector.
27		General comments	[Linklaters] (i) It is suggested that turnover may not be the best measure of business risk. Under the current proposal, the larger financial institutions will bear a larger portion of the global premium due to their high market share but this does not mean these institutions have a higher overall risk. In fact, many such institutions have their own insurance policies and internal control systems to mitigate risks. These factors should be considered when allocating the premium.	Turnover is a standard objective benchmark used by insurers of these risks to gauge overall business activity and thus is a good indicator of the overall risk. Moreover, the fact that all licensees concerned will be required to pay a minimum "floor" premium regardless of turnover will act as a counter-balance to this issue.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
			(ii) In Appendix 2 of the Consultation Document, an example is given on how the premium will be allocated. For securities dealers the variable amount is described as "last annual transaction values". What business activities are meant to be covered by that term?	It means the turnover of all securities dealing transactions conducted in Hong Kong which represents the insured business under the Scheme. However, to avoid double-counting, in calculating insurance premium borne by a licensed corporation, certain part of its turnover, which are related to the transactions executed through an exchange participant being its related company, can be excluded. The turnover excluded would be taken into account in calculating the insurance premium paid by the exchange participant concerned.
			(iii) The variable amount for securities margin financiers is described as "average monthly loan balance last year". How is this average to be calculated? Is it simply the average of each month end balance?	This amount comes from the total margin loan balance receivable after deducting any specific provision for bad or doubtful debts. It is currently reported by securities margin financiers in their monthly FRR returns (Table 1 in Form 3).
28	-	General comments	[Name of respondent withheld as requested] The premium should not be based on the turnover of the insured's activity as turnover is not indicative of the risks undertaken by the insured licensee. It also results in higher premium to be paid by larger brokers which have higher turnover. We suggest that the capital base, the clients' asset base, the deductible level and the risk control system of the insured as criteria in determining premium. These are material factors in evaluating the risk profile of the insured licensee.	Apart from turnover, claim history would also be taken into account in determining the premium paid by individual licensed corporations after commencement of the Scheme. It would provide an objective reflection of the risk control system of the insured. In addition, as noted in item 27(i), the minimum "floor" premium regardless of turnover will act as a counter-balance to this issue. Any changes in criteria or inclusion of qualitative factors in calculating the insurance premium would unnecessarily complicate the Scheme and increase the overall cost as a consequence.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
29	-	General comments	[Linklaters] The Submitting Group would like further information on how the global premium is allocated between the five different sectors. Would the Standing Committee look at other factors, such as the risk management systems and policies of each entity?	The insurer will be asked to give their allocation having regard to the risks associated with each individual sector. The suggested allocation will then be tabled in a Standing Committee meeting for comments. The general risk profile of each sector (rather than individual entity), including the risk management infrastructure, will be factored in.
30	-	General comments	 (i) Whilst a Standing Committee, comprising members of the financial services industry and the SFC, will be established to consider and determine the allocation of premium, there is no indication in the Consultation Document that the terms and conditions of the Scheme's master policy will be the subject of public consultation, or who, if anyone, other than the SFC will have to approve those terms. 	Terms and conditions of the master policy will not be subject to public consultation. However, as proposed in the Consultation Document (paragraph 44), the SFC, or through its adviser, would arrange a tender of the proposed scheme in the Hong Kong and international insurance market. Selection of the successful insurer or a combination of insurers would be determined by the SFC on advice from its Advisory Committee.
			(ii) Under s.6 (now s.7) of the draft Rules, the SFC has the ability to determine the terms and conditions of the Scheme's master policy. It is suggested this is also be brought in front of the Standing Committee.	The SFC agrees that the selection process shall be run in a transparent manner and the selection of and rationale behind choosing an insurer will be tabled before the Standing Committee for comments prior to finalization.
			(iii) As the Standing Committee is comprised of members of the financial industry and will receive confidential information about other members of the financial industry, the Submitting Group assumes that steps will be taken to ensure that confidentiality is fully respected including subjecting each individual	Each member of the Standing Committee will be required to sign a confidentiality undertaking.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response	
			member to a confidentiality undertaking.		
31	-	General comments	[Hong Kong Stockbrokers Association Ltd] We believe we need to be represented in the new Standing Committee on the Scheme. Only by this arrangement that we can efficiently and at first hand communicate to the SFC views of our members and vice versa.	In arranging the proposed insurance scheme, industry associations including the Institute of Securities Dealers Ltd and Hong Kong Stockbrokers Association Ltd have already joined the SFC's working group. It is also intended that representatives of the relevant industry associations would become members of the Standing Committee.	
32		General comments	 [Name of respondent withheld as requested] (i) We suggest that the Standing Committee becomes involved in other administrative aspects of the Scheme such as monitoring the negotiation and implementation of the Scheme regarding pricing, performance of the insurer, complaints from the insured etc. (ii) To facilitate efficiency, the insured should be able to deal directly with the insurer. 	As mentioned in item 30, the selection process will be run in a transparent manner and the Standing Committee will be consulted with before finalization. In addition, the Standing Committee will also be regularly informed in relation to claims and other administrative matters. Details will be provided in its terms of reference. According to the SFC's adviser on this matter, the insured will have to deal through the appointed insurance broker and it is not unusual for tri-partite meetings to be held.	
Com	Commencement				
33	-	General comments	[SLC]		
			There are no provisions addressing persons who currently benefit from exempt status during the transitional period from the commencement of the SFO and ending on the date(s) on which they either obtain licensed status or terminate their current activities. Presumably this is intentional?	All licensed corporations including existing exempt persons to be deemed as licensed corporations during the transitional period have to comply with the draft Rules on the proposed commencement date (i.e. 1 April 2003).	

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response
34	-	General comments	[Linklaters] There is no indication as to how this is going to work in practice during the transitional period of Part V of the SFO. The Group would appreciate some guidance as to how the transitional arrangements will work.	Detailed administrative procedures in relation to the Scheme will be issued to the relevant licensed corporations by the end of this year after completion of the tender process.
Stan	ding of the ins	surers underwriting the Scheme		
35		General comments	[Linklaters] There are no provisions relating to the standing of the insurers who will underwrite the Scheme. The definition of "insurer" is wide in the draft Rules. In order to enhance market confidence, the insurers permitted to underwrite the Scheme should be required to satisfy certain criteria, such as meeting a specified credit rating and meeting a minimum capitalization threshold.	Agreed. The draft Rules have been amended to require that an insurer underwriting the Scheme shall have a credit rating specified by the SFC as a minimum standard. In addition, the SFC considers it appropriate that this requirement also applies to those insurers of group or global policies which licensed corporations (not being exchange participants) may rely upon in seeking exemption from participating in the Scheme. Furthermore, to avoid possible risk transfer among group companies, it is now proposed thatsuch insurers of group or global policies shall not be related corporations of the licensed corporations concerned.

	Section Reference	Details of the Rules	Respondent's Comments	SFC's Response		
Alter	lternative to joining the Scheme					
Nege	- otiation of teri	General comments ms of the common policy	[Linklaters] As an alternative to joining the Scheme, the SFC should permit entities to buy their own insurance based on minimum requirements specified by the SFC, such as self insured limit, risks to be covered, specified insurance companies that are acceptable to the SFC. This is the practice followed in the United States. This avoids sharing of confidential information with competitors. In the United States, the regulators periodically inspect the policy.	At the initial stage of implementation, the SFC considers that it would be beneficial to the industry if the majority licensed corporations are to participate in the common Scheme for the sake of better quality control and economies of scale. Upon accumulation of experience for the industry as a whole, the SFC would review the proposed arrangement and consider the suggested alternative in due course.		
37	-	General comments	[SLC] When negotiating the common policy, the SFC should ensure that it is a term of the policy that default by one licensee should not affect the coverage of other licensees (although the ultimately defaults by licensees will affect the premium payable by all in future years). [Linklaters] The Submitting Group assumes that notwithstanding that licensed corporations are participating in a single insurance policy, that acts of one insured will not affect the policy as it applies to other insured. For example, the failure by one licensed corporation to comply with the terms should not affect the policy vis-à-vis other participants in the Scheme.	Agreed. This issue will be factored into the negotiations with potential insurers and the intention will be to provide for severability for each licensed corporation insured under the scheme master policy. This matter will be included in the tender document for selection of the insurer of the Scheme.		

List of Respondents

Date of submission	Respondent <note></note>
24 July 2002	The Securities Law Committee of the Law Society of Hong Kong
25 July 2002	The Institute of Securities Dealers Limited
26 July 2002	Linklaters (on behalf of Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C., J.P. Morgan, Merrill Lynch (Asia Pacific) Limited, Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Asia Limited, Salomon Smith Barney Hong Kong Limited)
29 July 2002	Hong Kong Stockbrokers Association Limited

<Note>

Apart from the respondents mentioned above, the SFC also received submissions from another 5 respondents which requested the SFC not to publish their names in relation to this public consultation.

Annex 2

SECURITIES AND FUTURES (INSURANCE) RULES

CONTENTS

Section		Page
1.	Commencement	1
2.	Interpretation	1
3.	Application	2
4.	Duty to insure against specified risks for specified amounts	5
5.	Duty to take out and maintain insurance under scheme of insurance	5
6.	Commission to arrange scheme of insurance	6
7.	Powers of Commission in arranging scheme of insurance	6
8.	No refund of premium	7
9.	Consent to disclosure of information by Commission for purposes of arranging insurance	7
10.	Commission may amend credit ratings	7
Schedule 1	Regulated activities in repect of which insurance is required	8
Schedule 2	Risks in relation to which insurance is required	8
Schedule 3	Insured amounts	10
Schedule 4	Credit ratings	10

SECURITIES AND FUTURES (INSURANCE) RULES

(Made by the Securities and Futures Commission under section 116(5) of the Securities and Futures Ordinance (5 of 2002))

1. Commencement

These Rules shall come into operation on 1 April 2003.

2. Interpretation

- (1) In these Rules, unless the context otherwise requires –
- "dealing in futures contracts" (期貨合約交易) has the meaning assigned to it by Part 2 of Schedule 5 to the Ordinance;
- "dealing in securities" (證券交易) has the meaning assigned to it by Part 2 of Schedule 5 to the Ordinance;
- "insurer" (保險人) means a person
 - (a) carrying on insurance business in or from Hong Kong under section 6(1) of the Insurance Companies Ordinance (Cap. 41); or
 - (b) carrying on insurance business in or from any place outside Hong Kong under the law of any place outside Hong Kong;
- "premium" (保險費) means the premium that is payable by a specified licensed corporation to the underwriter in respect of a scheme period;
- "scheme master policy" (計劃集成保險單) means the policy of insurance issued by the underwriter of a scheme of insurance in respect of a scheme period under which the underwriter provides the insurance referred to in section 4 to a specified licensed corporation;
- "scheme of insurance" (保險計劃) means the scheme of insurance referred to in section 6 arranged by the Commission in respect of a scheme period;

- "scheme period" (計劃期間) means the period of insurance referred to in a scheme master policy;
- "specified amount" (指明款額) means the insured amount per scheme period specified in Schedule 3;
- "specified credit rating" (指明信貸評級) means any one of the credit ratings specified in Schedule 4;
- "specified licensed corporation" (指明持牌法團) means a corporation that shall comply with these Rules under <u>section 3(1)</u>;
- "specified risks" (指明風險) means the risks specified in Schedule 2;
- "underwriter" (承保人) means one or more insurers with whom the Commission arranges a scheme of insurance in respect of a scheme period.
- (2) In these Rules, a reference to a deductible amount, in relation to a policy of insurance under which the insurer is liable for only the part of a loss or claim by a specified licensed corporation that exceeds a certain amount, is a reference to that amount.

3. Application

- (1) Subject to subsection (3), a corporation which is granted a licence under section 116(1) of the Ordinance to carry on any regulated activity specified in Schedule 1 shall comply with these Rules.
- (2) Notwithstanding subsection (1), these Rules shall have effect in relation to an applicant for the grant of a licence under <u>section 116(1)</u> of the Ordinance to carry on any regulated activity specified in Schedule 1, with necessary modifications.
- (3) The corporation referred to in subsection (1) is not obliged to comply with section 4 if it is not an exchange participant and
 - (a) it is granted a licence referred to in that subsection that is subject to a condition that the corporation shall not hold client assets;

- (b) it
 - (i) is insured in relation to the specified risks for an amount not less than the specified amount by one or more than one insurer that
 - (A) is not a related corporation of the corporation; and
 - (B) has a specified credit rating when the confirmation referred to in subsection (3)(b)(ii) or (c) or (5) is lodged with the Commission; and
 - (ii) has, not less than 60 days before a scheme period commences, lodged with the Commission a written confirmation from a responsible officer and a legal adviser of the corporation that the corporation is so insured under a policy of insurance when the scheme period commences; or
- (c) where the corporation is not so insured under paragraph (b)(i), it has, not less than 60 days before a scheme period commences, lodged with the Commission a written confirmation from a responsible officer and a legal adviser of the corporation that it will be so insured under a policy of insurance when the scheme period commences.
- (4) For the purposes of subsection (3)(b)(i)-
 - (a) section 1 of Schedule 2 shall be construed as not being subject to section 2 of the Schedule; and
 - (b) the relevant insurance may specify a deductible amount that does not exceed \$3,000,000.
- (5) Where a policy of insurance referred to in subsection (3)(b)(ii) or (c) expires before the end of the scheme period referred to in that subsection, and the relevant corporation takes out a new policy of insurance under which it is

insured in accordance with subsection (3)(b)(i) immediately after such expiry, the corporation shall, within 7 business days after such expiry, lodge with the Commission a written confirmation from a responsible officer and a legal adviser of the corporation that the corporation is and has since such expiry been so insured.

- (6) A confirmation referred to in subsection (3)(b)(ii), (c) or (5) shall state the commencement and expiry dates of the relevant policy of insurance under which the corporation is, or will be, so insured under subsection (3)(b)(i).
 - (7) Where -
 - (a) a corporation ("first-mentioned corporation") is an exchange participant, and
 - (i) is licensed under section 116(1) of the Ordinance for dealing in securities or dealing in futures contracts or both; and
 - (ii) is a related corporation of another corporation ("second-mentioned corporation") which
 - (A) is licensed under section 116(1) of the Ordinance for dealing in securities or dealing in futures contracts or both;
 - (B) is not an exchange participant; and
 - (C) is a client of the first-mentioned corporation; and
 - (b) the first-mentioned corporation acts as the executing broker for the second-mentioned corporation in respect of the regulated activity in paragraph (a)(ii)(A) that the second-mentioned corporation is licensed to carry on for or on behalf of the second-mentioned corporation's clients, to whom the second-mentioned corporation is responsible for the acts of the first-mentioned corporation done in the

capacity of being the second-mentioned corporation's executing broker,

then -

- (c) the first-mentioned corporation shall take out and maintain insurance under these Rules that covers the specified risks in relation to both the first-mentioned corporation and second-mentioned corporation in respect of the regulated activity in respect of which the first-mentioned corporation is acting as executing broker for the second-mentioned corporation;
- (d) the specified amount of the insurance under paragraph (c) shall be determined as if the specified licensed corporation is solely the first-mentioned corporation; and
- (e) the second-mentioned corporation shall not be obliged to take out and maintain separate insurance in relation to the specified risks already insured under paragraph (c).

4. Duty to insure against specified risks for specified amounts

- (1) Subject to section 3(3) and (7)(e), a specified licensed corporation shall take out and maintain insurance in relation to the specified risks for not less than the specified amount.
- (2) The insurance may specify a deductible amount that does not exceed \$3,000,000.

5. Duty to take out and maintain insurance under scheme of insurance

A specified licensed corporation shall take out and maintain insurance referred to in section 4 under a scheme of insurance by –

(a) paying the premium; and

(b) complying with the terms and conditions of the scheme master policy,

in respect of each scheme period during which the specified licensed corporation is licensed under section 116(1) of the Ordinance.

6. Commission to arrange scheme of insurance

- (1) For the purposes of section 5 and subject to subsection (2), the Commission may arrange with one or more insurers a scheme of insurance under which a specified licensed corporation shall take out and maintain insurance as referred to in section 4 in respect of a scheme period.
- (2) The Commission shall not arrange a scheme of insurance in respect of a scheme period with an underwriter unless that underwriter has a specified credit rating on the day the scheme period commences.

7. Powers of Commission in arranging scheme of insurance

- (1) Without limiting the generality of section 6, the powers of the Commission in relation to arranging a scheme of insurance under that section includes the power to
 - (a) determine the terms and conditions of the scheme master policy;
 - (b) collect the premium from each specified licensed corporation in such manner as the Commission specifies in writing and remit such premiums collected to the underwriter;
 - (c) distribute certificates of insurance issued by the underwriter to the relevant specified licensed corporations;
 - (d) receive notifications of claims or circumstances likely to give rise to claims under the scheme master policy from specified licensed corporations and transmit such notifications to the underwriter.

(2) The Commission may engage any person to provide such services as the Commission may consider necessary or desirable to assist it in exercising any part of its powers to arrange a scheme of insurance.

8. No refund of premium

The premium paid by a specified licensed corporation in accordance with these Rules is not refundable.

9. Consent to disclosure of information by Commission for purposes of arranging insurance

- (1) A specified licensed corporation shall submit to the Commission (or any person assisting the Commission under section 7(2)) such information about the specified licensed corporation and its business as the Commission may require for the purpose of arranging the scheme of insurance.
- (2) A specified licensed corporation shall be regarded as having consented to the disclosure by the Commission (or any person assisting the Commission under section 7(2)) for the purpose of arranging the scheme of insurance to
 - (a) the underwriter; or
 - (b) an insurer,

of the information relating to the specified licensed corporation, where that information was obtained by the Commission (or any person assisting the Commission under section 7(2)) from the specified licensed corporation.

10. Commission may amend credit ratings

The Commission may amend Schedule 4 by notice published in the Gazette.

SCHEDULE 1

[s. 3 & Schs. 2 & 3]

REGULATED ACTIVITIES IN REPECT OF WHICH INSURANCE IS REQUIRED

- 1. Dealing in securities.
- 2. Dealing in futures contracts.
- 3. Securities margin financing.

SCHEDULE 2

[ss. 3, 4 & Sch. 3]

RISKS IN RELATION TO WHICH INSURANCE IS REQUIRED

- 1. Subject to section 2, the risks in relation to which a specified licensed corporation shall take out and maintain insurance are
 - (a) the risk of loss arising out of the loss of client assets that are received or held by the specified licensed corporation (including client assets that are received or held by an associated entity of the specified licensed corporation) attributable to
 - (i) fraudulent or dishonest conduct by employees of the specified licensed corporation (or its associated entity or service bureau);
 - (ii) robbery or theft while the client assets are in the custody of the specified licensed corporation (or its associated entity);
 - (iii) forgery or fraudulent alteration of a cheque or other negotiable instrument;
 - (iv) fraudulent use of an information system;

- (v) forged or fraudulent instructions relating to the client assets;
- (b) the risk of loss attributable to
 - (i) receipt in good faith of counterfeit currency by the specified licensed corporation;
 - (ii) costs and expenses incurred in connection with investigations under the Ordinance or otherwise in relation to the businesses carried on by the specified licensed corporation which constitute any regulated activity specified in Schedule 1;
 - (iii) reasonable fees and expenses incurred by the specified licensed corporation in connection with determining the amount of a loss or claim in respect of which it is insured;
- (c) the risk of loss attributable to negligent conduct by the specified licensed corporation or its employees (or by its associated entity or employees of the associated entity or by its service bureau or employees of the service bureau).
- 2. The risks specified in section 1 exclude losses which do not arise out of a specified licensed corporation carrying on in Hong Kong any regulated activity specified in <u>Schedule 1</u>;
- 3. For the purposes of this Schedule
 - "employee" (僱員), in relation to a specified licensed corporation, includes an individual who is or has been an employee, officer or licensed representative of the person, or who is or has been engaged by the person whether under a contract of service or otherwise;
 - "service bureau" (服務部門), in relation to a specified licensed corporation, means a person to whom the specified licensed

corporation has delegated the duty to perform certain functions which are ancillary to the carrying on by the specified licensed corporation of any regulated activity specified in Schedule 1.

SCHEDULE 3

[ss. 3 & 4]

INSURED AMOUNTS

- 1. Where a specified licensed corporation is licensed under section 116(1) of the Ordinance to carry on one regulated activity specified in <u>Schedule 1</u>, the insured amount per scheme period is \$15,000,000 in respect of all of the specified risks.
- 2. Where a specified licensed corporation is licensed under section 116(1) of the Ordinance to carry on more than one regulated activity specified in <u>Schedule 1</u>, the insured amount per scheme period is \$25,000,000 in respect of all of the specified risks.

SCHEDULE 4

[ss. 3 & 6]

CREDIT RATINGS

- 1. A Moody's Long-Term Insurance Financial Strength rating of "A" or above.
- 2. A Standard & Poor's Insurer Financial Strength rating of "A" or above.
- 3. A Fitch's Insurer Financial Strength rating of "A" or above.

Chairman Securities and Futures Commission

2002

Explanatory Note

These Rules are made by the Securities and Futures Commission under section 116(5) of the Securities and Futures Ordinance (5 of 2002). They require applicants for licences, and corporations to whom the Commission has granted licences under section 116(1) of the Ordinance to carry on certain regulated activities, to take out and maintain insurance in respect of specified risks and for specified amount, under a scheme of insurance arranged by the Commission. They also prescribe the requirements with which those applicants and licensed corporations must comply in relation to such insurance.